U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of Information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| (Also referred to as FORM PTO-1485) REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAMINATION TRANSMITTAL FORM | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| | Address to: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Address to: Attorney Docket No.: Date: August 25, 2010 | | |
| 1. | This is a request for ex parte reexamination pursuant to 37 CFR 1.510 of patent number 5,648,497 issued July 15, 1997. The request is made by: | | |
| | patent owner. | | |
| 2. | The name and address of the person requesting reexamination is: | | |
| | Public Patent Foundation | | |
| | 55 Fifth Avenue, Suite 928 | | |
| | New York, NY 10003 | | |
| 3. | a. A check in the amount of \$ is enclosed to cover the reexamination fee, 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1); | | |
| | b. The Director is hereby authorized to charge the fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(c)(1) to Deposit Account No; or | | |
| | x c. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. | | |
| 4. | Any refund should be made by check or credit to Deposit Account No. 37 CFR 1.26(c). If payment is made by credit card, refund must be to credit card account. | | |
| 5. | A copy of the patent to be reexamined having a double column format on one side of a separate paper is enclosed. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(4) | | |
| 6. | CD-ROM or CD-R in duplicate, Computer Program (Appendix) or large table Landscape Table on CD | | |
| 7. | Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission If applicable, items a. – c. are required. | | |
| | a. Computer Readable Form (CRF) | | |
| | b. Specification Sequence Listing on: i. CD-ROM (2 copies) or CD-R (2 copies); or | | |
| | ii. paper | | |
| | c. Statements verifying identity of above copies | | |
| 8. | X A copy of any disclaimer, certificate of correction or reexamination certificate issued in the patent is included. | | |
| 9. | Reexamination of claim(s) 1-8 is requested. | | |
| 10. | A copy of every patent or printed publication relied upon is submitted herewith including a listing thereof on Form PTO/SB/08, PTO-1449, or equivalent. | | |
| 11. | An English language translation of all necessary and pertinent non-English language patents and/or printed publications is included. | | |

[Page 1 of 2]
This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.510. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop Ex Parte Reexam, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/57 (02-09)
Approved for use through 08/31/2010. OMB 0851-0033
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

| 12. [| X T | he attached detailed request includes at least the fo | ollowing items: | |
|--------|-------------|---|--|--------------------------------|
| _ | pı b. | . A statement identifying each substantial new quesublications. 37 CFR 1.510(b)(1) . An identification of every claim for which reexaminal manner of applying the cited art to every claim for | nation is requested, and a detailed | explanation of the pertinency |
| 13. | _ A | proposed amendment is included (only where the p | patent owner is the requester). 37 | CFR 1.510(e) |
| 14. [| x a. | It is certified that a copy of this request (if filed by the patent owner as provided in 37 CFR 1.33(c). The name and address of the party served and th Paul D. Yasger, Abbott Laboratories | | peen served in its entirety on |
| | | 100 Abbott Park Road, Dept. 377/AP | 6A | |
| | | Abbott Park, IL 60064-6008 | | |
| | | Date of Service: Augus | st 25, 2010 | ; or |
| | | A duplicate copy is enclosed because service on produce to serve patent owner is attached. See M | PEP 2220. | n explanation of the efforts |
| 15. (| Corre | spondence Address: Direct all communications abo | out the reexamination to: | |
| [|] DR | The address associated with Customer Number: | | |
| [3 | X | Firm or Individual Name Public Patent Foundation | l | |
| Addre | 988 | | | |
| 55 Fi | fth A | Avenue, Suite 928 | | |
| City N | lew | York | State NY | ^{Zip} 10003 |
| Count | try L | ISA | <u> </u> | |
| Telep | hone | (212) 790-0442 | Email info@pubpat.org | |
| 16. | | The patent is currently the subject of the following comparison is a. Copending reissue Application No. b. Copending reexamination Control No. c. Copending Interference No. d. Copending litigation styled: | oncurrent proceeding(s): | |
| | | | | |
| | | RNING: Information on this form may become juded on this form. Provide credit card informati | | |
| | | Davie B Vercher | lon and authorization on PTO-20 August 25, 2 | 38. |
| | | Authorized Signature | lon and authorization on PTO-20 August 25, 2 Date | 010 |
| | | Davie B Vercher | lon and authorization on PTO-20 August 25, 2 Date 47,015 | 38. |

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PATENT NO.:

5,648,497

ISSUED:

Jul. 15, 1997

TO:

Kempf et al.

FOR:

RETROVIRAL PROTEASE INHIBITING COMPOUNDS

ATTACHMENT TO FORM PTO/SB/57, REQUEST FOR EX PARTE REEXAMINATION

SIR:

The Public Patent Foundation ("PUBPAT"), a not-for-profit public service organization that works to protect the public from the harms caused by undeserved patents and unsound patent policy, respectfully requests *ex parte* reexamination under 35 U.S.C. §§ 302-307 and 37 C.F.R. § 1.510 of every claim of United States Patent No. 5,648,497 issued July 15, 1997, to Kempf et al. and assigned to Abbott Laboratories ("the '497 patent") because they are all invalid under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 and their existence is causing significant public harm.¹

THE '497 PATENT IS CAUSING SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC HARM

HIV/AIDS is one of the greatest threats to public health faced by the world today. As of the end of 2008, over 33 million people worldwide were living with HIV/AIDS,² including more

¹ A copy of the '497 patent is attached hereto as Appendix A.

² http://www.avert.org/worldstats.htm, last visited August 3, 2010.

than one million Americans.³ Every person afflicted with HIV/AIDS has the right to obtain the best medical treatment available without any improper obstacles placed in their way. More specifically, American men, women, and children suffering from HIV/AIDS are entitled to access the best pharmaceutical treatments available without undeserved patents making those treatments either too expensive or too limited in supply.

Ritonavir is a retroviral protease inhibitor that is a significant treatment for HIV/AIDS patients. Today it is widely used as a booster for other protease inhibitors. Abbott Laboratories is the sole distributor of ritonavir in the United States (under the brand name Norvir) and is using the '497 patent – and seven other patents for which requests for reexamination are being filed concurrently herewith – to prevent anyone else from offering ritonavir to HIV/AIDS patients in the United States.⁴ Not only is the '497 patent being used to deny American HIV/AIDS patients fair access to the medical treatment that they need and deserve; it is also a barrier to further research on ritonavir here in the United States because there is no exception to patent infringement for such research. In these ways, the '497 patent is unquestionably causing significant public harm to the American people. Although these issues are not grounds to grant this request for reexamination, PUBPAT respectfully requests that they be considered when determining whether the validity of the '497 patent merits review by your office.

THE SUBSTANTIAL NEW QUESTIONS OF PATENTABILITY

Whether claims 1-8 of the '497 patent were anticipated or rendered obvious by U.S.
 Patent No. 5,142,056 to Kempf et al. issued on August 25, 1992 ("056 patent");

³ http://www.avert.org/usa-statistics.htm, last visited August 3, 2010.

^{4 &}lt;u>Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations</u>, Food and Drug Administration ("Orange Book"), Application Number. N022417 (Approval Date February 10, 2010).

- Whether claims 1-8 of the '497 patent were anticipated or rendered obvious by EP 337714A2, to Sigal et al. published on October 18, 1989 ("Sigal"); and
- Whether claims 1-8 of the '497 patent were anticipated or rendered obvious by Ho et al., Nature vol. 375 Jan. 12, 1995 "Rapid Turnover of Plasma Virions and CD4 Lymphocytes in HIV-1 Infection" ("Ho").

These are new questions because neither the '056, Sigal nor Ho were cited as references, much less applied, during prosecution. A detailed explanation of the pertinency and manner of applying the cited patents and publications to the claims of the '497 patent is set forth below.⁵

THE '056 PATENT ANTICIPATED OR RENDERED OBVIOUS THE '497 PATENT

The '497 patent application was filed March 24, 1995. The applicants claimed priority to a series of applications, including application no. 08/270,210 (filed August 23, 1994) and application number 08/121,673 (filed September 14, 1993). However, the claims of the '497 patent are not entitled to those claims of priority because the specifications of those earlier applications were not sufficient to satisfy the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112 with respect to the eight claims of the '497 patent.

The Federal Circuit recently confirmed that the written description requirement is a separate statutory requirement from the best mode and enablement requirements. <u>Ariad Pharmaceuticals, Inc. v. Eli Lilly and Co.</u>, 598 F.3d 1336, 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2010). To satisfy the written description requirement, a specification must describe the claimed invention so that one of ordinary skill in the art can recognize what is claimed. Further, sufficient detail must be included in the specification to show one of ordinary skill in the art that the applicant possessed

⁵ Appendix B contains a copy of the cited patents and publications.

the claimed invention at the time of the filing of the application.

In this case, the inventors did not possess the claimed invention at the time of filing of the claimed priority applications because the specifications lacked evidence that the claimed methods were effective in vivo. The only evidence of efficacy was in vitro. and no evidence of the correlation between the in vitro results and successful treatment in humans was provided. Many antiviral agents that provide exceptional results in vitro are ineffective in vivo. This principle is substantiated by a 1987 review article indicating that in vitro testing performed on anti-viral compounds is useful as a screening tool but is not predictive of in vivo efficacy. The specifications of the purported priority applications lacked in vivo data supporting the efficacy of the claimed methods, which thus renders them insufficient to satisfy the written description requirement. Therefore, the '497 patent is not entitled to claim priority to those earlier applications. Thus, the effective filing date of the '497 patent is its specific filing date, March 24, 1995.

The '056 patent issued on August 25, 1992. Accordingly, the '056 patent is 102(b) prior art to the '497 patent. As explained below, the '056 patent renders each claim of the '497 patent invalid.

In 2007 the Federal Circuit specifically addressed the standard for obviousness in another case involving a pharmaceutical composition. In that case, <u>Pfizer v. Apotex</u>, the patented besylate salt of the compound amlodipine was held obvious over a prior art patent that claimed a genus of pharmaceutically acceptable salts of amlodipine even though it did not disclose the besylate salt. 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007). The besylate salt was found obvious despite the

⁶ Sandstrom et al., "Antiviral Therapy In AIDS: Clinical Pharmacological Properties and Therapeutic Experience to Date," Drugs 34: 373-390 (1987).

fact that none of the anions listed in the prior art patent had a cyclic structure as does besylate and it was undisputed that one cannot reliably predict the influence of a particular salt species on the behavior of a parent compound. The Federal Circuit's obviousness decision was based on the skilled artisan's motivation to combine prior art teachings to achieve the claimed invention and reasonable expectation of success. <u>Id.</u> at 1361. The <u>Pfizer v. Apotex</u> case is instructive as to the obviousness of the '497 patent over the '056 patent.

The '497 patent contains eight claims, two of which are independent. Each one recites a compound. The first is the broadest claim and purports to cover variations in the compound's functional groups. Claims 2 through 7 purport to cover subsets of the variations described in claim 1. Claim 8, the second independent claim, recites a compound that is a single iteration of the category of compounds described in claim 1. It reads as follows:

8. The compound (2S,3S,5S)-2-(N-(N-(N-Methyl-N-((2-amino-4-thiazolyl)methyl)amino)carbonyl)valinyl)amino)-5-(N-((5-thiazolyl)-methoxycarbonyl)amino)-1,6-diphenyl-3-hydroxyhexane; or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof.

A compound disclosed in the '056 patent is represented as follows:

The claimed compound and the compound taught by the '056 patent have the same central

substituent. Therefore, the '056 patent anticipates the claims of the '497 patent. The additional hydroxyl group described in the '497 patent is inherent in or obvious in light of the '056 patent compound.

The chart below sets forth a comparison of all eight claims of the '497 patent to the teaching of the '056 patent. In essence, the compounds claimed in the '497 patent represent a genus of or are rendered obvious by the class of compounds disclosed in the '056 patent. Although the '056 patent may not have explicitly disclosed the exact compounds recited in the '497 patent, each element of the '497 patent's claims is either inherent in or rendered obvious by the '056 patent's teachings. Therefore each claim of the '497 patent is invalid and should be canceled.

| '497 patent | '056 patent |
|---|--|
| 1. A compound of the formula: OH R3 NH—B | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 1, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| wherein R_2 and R_3 are independently selected from C_3 -to- C_7 -cycloalkyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl and $(C_6$ -monocyclic or C_9 -or C_{10} -bicyclic)aryl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl; and (a) A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $C(O)$ — NHCH(R_5) $C(O)$ — or (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $C(O)$ NHCH(R_5) $C(O)$ wherein R_9 is -O, -NH or -N(C_1 -to- C_6 -loweralkyl)- and R5 is C_1 -to- C_6 -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- O — $C(O)$, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-NH—- $C(O)$, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-N(C_1 -to- C_6 -loweralkyl)- $C(O)$, (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- O — $C(O)$, (substituted thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- O — $C(O)$, (substituted thiazolyl)- C_1 - | |

| '497 patent | '056 patent |
|--|--|
| '497 patent C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O)— or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O)— and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkoxy, halo-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, or (b) A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O)—, thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O)—, (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O)—, (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O)— or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O)— or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)—NH—CH(R ₅)-C(O)— or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)—NH—CH(R ₅)-C(O)— wherein R ₉ is —O—, —NH— or —N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino | '056 patent |
| C6-alkyl,COOH and -SO3H; | |
| or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. | mi 1056 - 4 - 1 - 1 |
| 2. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 2, save one |

| '497 patent | '056 patent |
|--|--|
| wherein R ₉ is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R5 is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H. | additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 3. The compound of claim 2 wherein R ₂ and R ₃ are benzyl, A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ — C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O or -N(CH ₃) and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 3, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 4. The compound of claim 3 wherein R₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted -thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C₁-to-C₅-loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 4, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 5. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NHC(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ - | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent |

| '497 patent | '056 patent |
|--|--|
| alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ - alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)-C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ - loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkoxy, halo-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H. | as the compound of claim 5, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 6. The compound of claim 5 wherein R ₂ and R ₃ are benzyl, A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O or -N(CH ₃) and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 6, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 7. The compound of claim 6 wherein R ₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent as the compound of claim 7, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |
| 8. The compound (2S,3S,5S)-2-(N-(N-((N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N | The '056 patent claims compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the same central substituent |

| '497 patent | '056 patent |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| hydroxyhexane; or a pharmaceutically | as the compound of claim 8, save one additional hydroxyl group. The equivalence of the central substituent having an additional hydroxyl group was known in the art. |

SIGAL ANTICIPATED OR RENDERED OBVIOUS THE '497 PATENT

In addition to being anticipated or rendered obvious by the '056 patent, the '497 patent was also anticipated or rendered obvious by Sigal. The Sigal application was published October 18, 1989. Accordingly, it is 102(b) prior art to the '497 patent which has an effective filing date of March 24, 1995 as explained above. <u>Pfizer v. Apotex</u> is again instructive as to why the '497 patent is invalid over Sigal.

The chart below sets forth an element-by-element comparison of all eight claims of the '497 patent to the teaching of Sigal. In essence, the compounds claimed in the '497 patent represent a genus of or are rendered obvious by the class of compounds disclosed in Sigal. Sigal discloses compounds useful in the treatment of HIV and AIDS which have the identical central substituent as that of the compounds named in the claims of the '497 patent and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof. Sigal at 42. Although Sigal may not have explicitly disclosed the exact compounds recited in the '497 patent, each element of the '497 patent's claims is either inherent in or rendered obvious by Sigal's teachings. Therefore each claim of the '497 patent is invalid and should be canceled.

| '497 patent | Sigal |
|-------------------------------|---|
| 1. A compound of the formula: | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 1. |

'497 patent Sigal

wherein R2 and R3 are independently selected from C₃-to-C₇-cycloalkyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl and (C₆-monocyclic or C₉-or C₁₀-bicyclic)aryl-C₁to-C₆-alkyl; and (a) A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 —C(O)--NH--CH(R₅)--C(O)-- or (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 -- C(O)--NH--CH(R_5)--C(O)-- wherein R_0 is -O--, --NH-- or $-N(C_1$ -to-C₆-loweralkyl)- and R5 is C₁-to-C₆-loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-O—C(O)--, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-NH—C(O)--, thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-N(C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl)-C(O)--, (substituted-thiazolyl)-C1to-C₆-alkyl-O—C(O)--, (substituted thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-NH—C(O)-- or (substitutedthiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-N(C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl-C(O)-- and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C₁-to-C₆alkylamino, di-C₁-to-C₆-alkylamino, C₁-to-C₆alkoxy, halo-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl, unsubstituted C₃to-C₁-cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C₆-monocyclic or C₉- or C₁₀-bicyclic) aryl, unsubstituted (C₆monocyclic or C₉- or C₁₀-bicyclic)aryl-C₁-to-C6-alkyl, --COOH and -SO3H; or (b) A is thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-O—C(O)--, thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-NH--C(O)--, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- $N(C_1$ -to- C_6 -loweralkyl)-C(O)--, (substituted-thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-O-C(O)--, (substituted thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-NH—C(O)-- or (substituted thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-N(C₁-to-C₆-loweralkyl-C(O)-- and B

| '497 patent | Sigal |
|---|---|
| is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R5)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R5)C(O) wherein R9 is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R5 is C ₁ -to-C ₆ - loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkoxy, halo-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H; or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. | |
| 2. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl) and R5 is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, c ₁ -to-C ₁ -to-C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₁ -to-C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 2. |

| '497 patent | Sigal |
|---|---|
| 3. The compound of claim 2 wherein R_2 and R_3 are benzyl, A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $C(O)$ NHCH(R_5) $C(O)$ or (substituted-thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $O(O)$ NHCH(R_5)C(O) wherein R_9 is -O or -N(CH ₃) and R_5 is C_1 -to- C_6 -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-O— $C(O)$ or (substituted-thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-O— $C(O)$ and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 3. |
| 4. The compound of claim 3 wherein R ₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted -thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 4. |
| 5. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NHC(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ - loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H. | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 5. |
| 6. The compound of claim 5 wherein R ₂ and R ₃ are benzyl, A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of |

| '497 patent | Sigal |
|--|---|
| C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O-or -N(CH ₃) and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 6. |
| 7. The compound of claim 6 wherein R ₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 7. |
| 8. The compound (2S,3S,5S)-2-(N-(N-((N-Methyl-N-((2-amino-4-thiazolyl)methyl)amino)carbonyl)valinyl)amin o)-5-(N-((5-thiazolyl)-methoxycarbonyl)amino)-1,6-diphenyl-3-hydroxyhexane; or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. | Sigal discloses compounds and pharmaceutically acceptable salts of compounds having the identical central substituent as the compound of claim 8. |

THE '497 PATENT WAS ANTICIPATED OR RENDERED OBVIOUS BY HO

Ho, published in January of 1995, predates the March 1995 filing of the '497 application and is thus prior art to the '497 patent under 102(a). Ho provides *in vivo* evidence that a compound nearly identical to that claimed in the '497 patent, referred to as ABT-538 in the article, was effective in treating HIV when administered to humans. Ho at 123. Ho thus anticipated the invention claimed in the '497 patent. The chart below sets forth an element-by-element comparison of all eight claims of the '497 patent to the teaching of Ho. Because Ho disclosed a method of treatment using almost the exact compound recited in the '497 patent, each element of the '497 patent's claims is either inherent in or rendered obvious by Ho's teachings. Therefore each claim of the '497 patent is invalid and should be canceled.

'497 patent

1. A compound of the formula:

wherein R₂ and R₃ are independently selected from C₃-to-C₇-cycloalkyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl and (C₆-monocyclic or C₉-or C₁₀-bicyclic)aryl-C₁to-C6-alkyl; and (a) A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 —C(O)--NH--CH(R₅)--C(O)-- or (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 -- C(O)--NH--CH(R_5)--C(O)-- wherein R_9 is -O--, --NH-- or $-N(C_1$ -to-C₆-loweralkyl)- and R5 is C₁-to-C₆-loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-O—C(O)--, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-NH—C(O)--, thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-N(C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl)-C(O)--, (substituted-thiazolyl)-C₁to-C₆-alkyl-O---C(O)--, (substituted thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-NH—C(O)-- or (substitutedthiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-N(C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl-C(O)-- and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C₁-to-C₆loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C₁-to-C₆alkylamino, di-C₁-to-C₆-alkylamino, C₁-to-C₆alkoxy, halo-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl, unsubstituted C₃to-C₇-cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C₆-monocyclic or C₉- or C₁₀-bicyclic) aryl, unsubstituted (C₆monocyclic or C₉- or C₁₀-bicyclic)aryl-C₁-to-C6-alkyl, --COOH and -SO3H; or (b) A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-O—C(O)--, thiazolyl-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-NH--C(O)--, thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- $N(C_1$ -to- C_6 -loweralkyl)-C(O)--, (substituted-thiazolyl)-C₁-to-C₆-alkyl-O— C(O)--, (substituted thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl-NH—C(O)— or (substituted thiazolyl)- C_1 -to-

Ho

Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 1.

| '497 natent | Но |
|--|--|
| '497 patent C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R5)-C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R5)C(O) wherein R9 is —O,NH or —N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R5 is C ₁ -to-C ₆ - loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and —SO ₃ H; or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof. 2. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is —O,NH or —N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ - | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 2. |
| to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkoxy, halo-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to- | |

| '497 patent | Но |
|--|--|
| C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H. | |
| 3. The compound of claim 2 wherein R ₂ and R ₃ are benzyl, A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ —O(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O or -N(CH ₃) and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O) and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 3. |
| 4. The compound of claim 3 wherein R ₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted -thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C₁-to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 4. |
| 5. The compound of claim 1 wherein A is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NHC(O), thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)-C(O), (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-O—C(O), (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-NH—C(O) or (substituted thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-C(O) and B is thiazolyl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ - alkyl-R ₉ —C(O)NHCH(R ₅)-C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl-R ₉ C(O)NHCH(R ₅)C(O) wherein R ₉ is -O,NH or -N(C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl)- and R ₅ is C ₁ -to-C ₆ - loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently selected from a thiazolyl ring substituted with one or two substituents independently selected from C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl, hydroxy, halo, amino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkylamino, di-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl, unsubstituted C ₃ -to-C ₇ -cycloalkyl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl, unsubstituted (C ₆ -monocyclic or C ₉ - or C ₁₀ -bicyclic)aryl-C ₁ -to-C ₆ -alkyl,COOH and -SO ₃ H. | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 5. |

| '497 patent | Но |
|--|---|
| are benzyl, A is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- O — $C(O)$ or (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- O — $C(O)$ and B is thiazolyl- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $C(O)$ NHCH(R_5)C(O) or (substituted-thiazolyl)- C_1 -to- C_6 -alkyl- R_9 — $C(O)$ NHCH(R_5)C(O) wherein R_9 is - O or -N(CH ₃) and R_5 is C_1 -to- C_6 -loweralkyl and wherein at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is as defined therein. | of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 6. |
| 7. The compound of claim 6 wherein R ₅ is isopropyl and at each occurrence substituted-thiazolyl is independently amino-substituted thiazolyl or C ₁ -to-C ₆ -loweralkyl-substituted thiazolyl. | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 7. |
| 8. The compound (2S,3S,5S)-2-(N-(N-((N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N-(N | Ho taught the efficacy in AIDS/HIV treatment of a compound having the identical central substituent and nearly identical side groups as a compound claimed in claim 8. The differences between the molecules consist merely of the movement of one hydroxyl group to the adjacent carbon atom and the substitution of an isopropyl group with an amine. |

CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, each of the claims of the '497 patent is invalid. Accordingly, PUBPAT respectfully requests that they be examined *ex parte* and subsequently canceled.

August 25,2010

Date

Daniel B. Ravicher, Esq. U.S.P.T.O. Reg. No. 47,015 PUBLIC PATENT FOUNDATION, INC. 55 Fifth Avenue, Suite 928 New York, NY 10003

Daniel B. Parker

Tel: (212) 790-0442 Fax: (212) 591-6038 www.pubpat.org

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO

Substitute for form 1449/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT
(Use as many sheets as necessary)

Sheet 1 of 2

Complete if Known

Application Number 90/___,

Filing Date
First Named Inventor Kempf, Dale J.

Art Unit

Examiner Name

| Examiner Cite No. | Cite | Number-Kind Code ^{2 (F known)} | Publication Date | Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document | Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear |
|-------------------|----------|---|--|--|---|
| | NO. | | MM-DD-YYYY | | |
| | | ^{US-} 5,142,056 | 08-25-1992 | Kempf, et al. | |
| - | | US- | | | |
| | | US- | | • | |
| | | US- | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | US- | | | |
| | | US- | 1 | - | |
| - | | US- | | | |
| | | US- | | | |
| | | US- | † | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | US- | | | |
| | | US- | 1 | | |
| | <u> </u> | US- | | | |
| | | US- | · · · | | - |

| Cite No.1 | Foreign Patent Document | GN PATENT DOCK Publication | | | |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|--|---|----------------|
| | | Date | Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document | Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages | " |
| | Country Code ³ Number ⁴ TKInd Code ⁶ (# known) | MM-DD-YYYY | | Or Relevant Figures Appear | T [®] |
| | EP 0 337 714 A2 | 10-18-1989 | Merck & Co. Inc. | | |
| | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | ╙ |
| | | | | | ⊬ |
| | | - | <u> </u> | | ╁ |
| | | | | | |

| Examiner | Date | |
|-----------|------------|--|
| Signature | Considered | |

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST.16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/08b (07-09)

Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0851-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number. Complete if Known Substitute for form 1449/PTO **Application Number** 09/Filing Date INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT First Named Inventor Kempf, Dale J. **Art Unit** (Use as many sheets as necessary) **Examiner Name** Attorney Docket Number Sheet 2 2 of

| , | | NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|----|
| Examiner Initials* | Cite No. ¹ | Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published. | Ţ² |
| | | HO et al., Rapid Turnover of Plasma Virions and CD4 Lymphocytes in HIV-1 Infection, Nature, 373: 123-126, January 1995. | |
| : | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Examiner | - | Date | | |
|-----------|--------------|------------|---|--|
| Signature | | Considered | _ | |
| | | | | |

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached. This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.